

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,285	11/18/2003	Mark Robert Kohls	132820IT/YOD GEMS:0231	6082
7590 04/10/2006			EXAMINER	
Patrick S. Yoder FLETCHER YODER			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
P.O. Box 692289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77269-2289			2863	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/716,285 KOHLS, MARK ROBERT Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Michael P. Nghiem 2863 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael P. Nghiem. (3) John Rariden. (2) Lee Eubanks. (4) . Date of Interview: 05 April 2006. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,13,17,19,23 and 27-29. Identification of prior art discussed: Soderberg (US 2004/0186357) teaches a bar code. Agreement with respect to the claims $f \mid \square$ was reached. $g \mid \square$ was not reached. $g \mid \square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants argue that a bar code is not of a binary format because it represents digits from 1-9. Thus, based on the argument, it appears the proposed amendment to add printing or acquiring data of a binary format into the above independent claims would overcome the prior art of record. Examiner will consider Applicants argument regarding the bar code upon receipt of the official amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, it required